UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,015	03/26/2004	James Jolly Clark	5853-00503	9650
35690 MEYERTONS	7590 12/05/2007 , HOOD, KIVLIN, KOWI	FRT & GOET7FI P C	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 398			JARRETT, RYAN A	
AUSTIN, TX 7	/8/6/-0398		5853-00503 EXAMINE JARRETT, RY ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2125	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		A	K			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/- `			
Office Astinu Occurrence	10/811,015	CLARK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ryan A. Jarrett	2125				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may I will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mitte, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this commul ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 A	August 2007					
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		atters, prosecution as to the me	rits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	• •				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	· ·	= : :				
	zammer. Note the attach	sa Office Action of John 1 10 1	02.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received					
Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			ne .			
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ot received.				
Attachment(s)		·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other: _					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1 and 2, drawn to a system for assessing wind speed as a function of temperature at least two meters above a zone to be irrigated.
- II. Claims 1 and 3, drawn to sensing unit output via radiofrequency radiation.
- III. Claims 1 and 4, drawn to a temperature/wind speed calibration table.
- IV. Claims 1 and 5 drawn to a system for inhibiting irrigation when the assessed wind speed exceeds a selected value for at least a selected length of time.
- V. Claims 1, 6, 10, and 11, drawn to solar panels supplying electricity to sensing units.
- VI. Claims 1, 7, and 8, drawn to an infrared receiver/transceiver.
- VII. Claims 1 and 9, drawn to a system for controlling irrigation at least partially based on community irrigation instructions.
- VIII. Claims 1 and 12-15, drawn to one or more valves.

- IX. Claims 16 and 17, drawn to a method of inhibiting irrigation when the assessed wind speed exceeds a selected value for at least a selected length of time.
- X. Claims 16, and 18, drawn to a method of assessing solar insolation and controlling irrigation at least partially based on the assessed solar insolation.
- XI. Claims 16, and 19, drawn to a method of assessing solar insolation and assessing zonal evapotranspiration at least partially based on the assessed solar insolation.
- XII. Claims 16 and 20, drawn to a method of controlling irrigation at least partially based on community irrigation instructions.

- XIII. Claims 21, 22, 34, and 35, drawn to the receiver being a pager device.
- XIV. Claims 21, 23, 34, and 36, drawn to the receiver being an infrared receiver.
- XV. Claims 21, 24, 34, and 37, drawn to the receiver being a single frequency device.
- XVI. Claims 21, 25, 34, and 38, drawn to the receiver being an assignable frequency device.
- XVII. Claims 21, 26, 34, and 39, drawn to specific community irrigation instructions.
- XVIII. Claims 21, 27, 34, and 40, drawn to the region comprising at least a portion of a postal zone.
- XIX. Claims 21, 28, 34, and 41, drawn to a solar panel configured to supply at least a portion of produced electricity to the sensing unit.
- XX. Claims 21, 29, 34, and 42, drawn to a sensing unit configured to assess an amount of moisture in an irrigation zone.
- XXI. Claims 21, 30, 34, and 43, drawn to terminating irrigation when the wind speed measured by the wind sensor exceeds a certain value for a selected length of time.
- XXII. Claims 21, 31, 34, and 44, drawn to a solar panel configured to provide an output that is a function of received sunlight to the computer system, wherein the computer system is configured to assess solar insolation as a

10/811,015 Art Unit: 2125

function of the output from the sensing unit and control irrigation at least partially based on the assessed solar insolation.

XXIII. Claims 21, 32, 34, and 45, drawn to a particular type of moisture sensor.

XXIV. Claims 21, 33, 34, and 46, drawn to receiving regional evapotranspiration information for the region, and assessing an irrigation need of the region at least partially based on the regional evapotranspiration information.

10/811,015 Art Unit: 2125

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-VIII are each related as combination and subcombination, or vice-versa. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combinations as claimed do not require the particulars of the subcombinations as claimed, and the subcombinations have separate utility, since there exists a two-way distinction between each group I-VIII listed above. For example, Group I does not require a temperature/wind speed calibration table, per Group III. And Group III can be used by itself, as evidenced by its separate claiming, i.e., in a system that does not assess wind speed as a function of temperature at least 2 meters above a zone to be irrigated, as is the case with Group I. This type of two-way distinction is present in each group I-VIII listed above.

Inventions IX-XII are each related as combination and subcombination, or vice-versa. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combinations as claimed do not require the particulars of the subcombinations as claimed, and the subcombinations have separate utility, since there exists a two-way distinction between each group IX-XII listed above.

Inventions XIII-XXIV are each related as combination and subcombination, or vice-versa. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combinations as claimed do not require the particulars of the subcombinations as claimed, and the subcombinations have separate utility, since there exists a two-way distinction between each group XII-XXIV listed above.

10/811,015

Art Unit: 2125

Inventions (I-VIII) and (IX-XII) are each related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the methods of Groups IX-XII can be practiced by an apparatus that does not comprise the specific flow, reference, and calibration thermistors required by the apparatuses of Groups I-VIII.

Inventions (I-VIII) and (XIII-XXIV) are each related as combination and subcombination, or vice-versa. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combinations as claimed do not require the particulars of the subcombinations as claimed, and the subcombinations have separate utility, since there exists a two-way distinction between each group (I-VIII) and (XIII-XXIV) listed above. For example, Group I does not require the override feature of Group XIII, and Group XIII can be used in a system that does not require the specific flow, reference, and calibration thermistors required by the apparatus of Group I.

10/811,015 Art Unit: 2125

Inventions (IX-XII) and (XIII-XXIV) are each related as combination and subcombination, or vice-versa. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combinations as claimed do not require the particulars of the subcombinations as claimed, and the subcombinations have separate utility, since there exists a two-way distinction between each group (IX-XII) and (XIII-XXIV) listed above. For example, Group IX does not require the override feature of Group XIII, and Group XIII can be used in a system that does not utilize assessing wind speed as a function of temperature at least 2 meters above a zone to be irrigated, as utilized by Group IX.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. There is a serious burden due the two-way distinctness mentioned above. Multiple different text searches would be required to potentially locate all the distinct features. There is no guarantee that a single comprehensive piece of art would contain all or most all of the distinct features, as the distinct features branch off into numerous different directions.

10/811,015

Art Unit: 2125

Claim 1 link(s) inventions I-VIII. Claim 16 link(s) inventions IX-XII. Claims 21 and 34 link(s) invention XIII-XXIV. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is **subject to** the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 1, 16, 21, and/or 34. Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions **shall** be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104 **Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, the allowable linking claim, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

10/811,015

Art Unit: 2125

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ryan A. Jarrett whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3742. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00-6:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on (571) 272-3749. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ryan A. Jarrett Primary Examiner Art Unit 2125

12/02/07

RYAN A. JARRETT PRIMARY EXAMINER